



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:) Group Art Unit: 1631
HOGAN *et al.*) Examiner: Marschel, A.
Serial No. 08/454,529) Atty. Docket No. GP004-16.DV4
Filed: May 30, 1995)
For: METHODS FOR DETERMINING)
THE PRESENCE OF NON-VIRAL)
ORGANISMS IN A SAMPLE)

#33
Plunkett
8/31/01

RESPONSE

Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

In response to the Examiner's Office Action mailed on April 23, 2001, kindly consider the following remarks in connection with the above-captioned application.

Remarks

Various claim combinations of the instant application stand rejected by the Examiner as being unpatentable under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting in view of claims which issued in each of U.S. Patent Nos. 5,547,842, 5,593,841, 5,595,874, 5,674,684, 5,677,127, 5,677,129, 5,679,520, 5,683,876, 5,691,149, 5,693,468, 5,693,469, 5,714,321, 5,958,679 and 5,994,059. While U.S. Patent No. 5,677,128 ("the '128 patent") was cited in the Examiner's form PTO-892, the '128 patent was not identified in any of the Examiner's double patenting rejections. Applicants will assume for this response that the Examiner also intended to rely upon the '128 patent in making out a double patenting rejection. Without addressing the merits of the Examiner's arguments, Applicants submit that these rejections are overcome by the Terminal Disclaimer filed herewith. Accordingly, withdrawal of these rejections are respectfully requested.

TECH CENTER 1600/2900
AUG 30 2001

RECEIVED